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NOTES FOR HANDSEARCHERS

- You are searching for reports of trials in ANY area of health care, and not just those relevant to a particular review or field.

- Unless or until it is certain that the study described in an article is neither comparative nor prospective, it is extremely important to read every word the authors provide.

- However, you will rarely need to read the entire article. Reading the Title, Abstract and Methods sections may be sufficient to tell you whether or not a study meets the criteria for RCT or CCT. You need only read each article to the point where it is possible to make a definite classification of the study design.

- Making judgements as to the quality of the methods used, or whether the authors actually did what they claim, should not be used as a criterion for including a study. As a handsearcher, you need not attempt to assess for quality the studies you identify.

- The code RCT is reserved for trials where randomisation is certain and explicitly stated; the code CCT is used as a collective term for possible RCTs, quasi-RCTs and possible quasi-RCTs.

- If one or more outcomes were assessed using double-masking (blinding), such that neither the participant nor the assessor was aware of the intervention received, but randomisation is not explicitly mentioned in the text, a trial should be included as a CCT.

- Cross-over trials, in which patients have been assigned to the first intervention using random or quasi-random allocation, should be included as an RCT or CCT respectively.

- Reports of trials dealing only with animals or with cadavers or parts of the human body that will not be replaced into a living human, should not be included.

- A report of a trial should be included even when no results are presented or when results are limited to base-line variables.

- The study must be prospective in nature. That is, the interventions are planned prior to the experiment taking place.

- Two or more treatments or interventions must be compared to one another.

- Reports of trials with healthy volunteers should be included.

- Do not include items which simply comment on previously reported trials.

- Units of randomisation may be individuals, groups (communities, hospitals), organs (such as eyes) or other parts of the body such as legs, teeth.

- Take care to distinguish random sampling from random allocation. Random sampling of a population for inclusion in a trial does not automatically mean random allocation to groups within the trial was used.
EXAMPLES OF DESCRIPTIONS OF ALLOCATION METHODS

Randomised controlled trial (RCTs)

*Patients were randomly allocated to the two treatment groups using a random numbers table*

*When the patient entered the trial the ward sister opened a numbered sealed envelope containing the randomised allocation to the test or control group*

*Patients were randomised into two groups*

*The order in which the drugs were given was determined by a random code*

Controlled clinical trial (CCTs)

*The effects of propranol and identical placebo were compared in a double blind study [not stated]*

*Patients admitted to the trial were allocated to the test or control group by their NHS number. This with odd numbers entered the test group [quasi]*

*Patients with severe burns were allocated on admission alternately to a control group and to a treatment group [not stated]*

*All patients were given either treatment tablets or placebo tablets of similar appearance [not stated]*

*In a study involving 25 volunteers on a daily dose of 1 or 2 grams of the treatment drug… [not stated]*

*Patients admitted to the trial were divided into two groups [not stated]*
CRITERIA FOR CODING RCTs AND CCTs

Randomised controlled trial - RCT
A trial in which the individuals (or other units) followed in the trial were:

**Definitely** assigned *prospectively* to one of two or more alternative forms of health care using **random** allocation

Controlled clinical trial - CCT
A trial in which the individuals (or other units) followed in the trial were:

**Definitely** assigned *prospectively* to one of two or more alternative forms of health care using some **quasi-random** method of allocation (such as alternation, date of birth, hospital number)

**Possibly** assigned *prospectively* to one of two or more alternative forms of health care using **random** or **quasi-random** method of allocation

Key Definitions

A **randomised controlled trial** involves at least one test treatment and one control treatment, concurrent enrolment and follow-up of the test and control treated groups. The treatments are allocated by a random process.

A **controlled clinical trial** involves at least one test treatment and one control treatment and specified outcome measures for evaluating the studied intervention. The treatments are allocated by a quasi-random process.

A **review article** is any article that summarises results of randomised or quasi-randomised trials (published or unpublished).

**Test treatment** – a drug, device, procedure or intervention studied for diagnostic, therapeutic, prophylactic effectiveness

**Control treatment** – placebo, active treatment, no-treatment, alternative doses and regimens

**Random** – computer generated random numbers table

**Quasi-random** – alternation, patient record number, date of admission to hospital, date of birth
FLOW CHART FOR CODING EACH REPORT

- Is it a **systematic review** article or a report of a **comparison** of **alternative** forms of health care?  
  \[\text{NO} \rightarrow \text{X}\]
  \[\text{YES} \downarrow\]

- Is it a systematic review or meta-analysis article of a health care intervention?  
  \[\text{YES} \rightarrow \text{REV}\]
  \[\text{NO} \downarrow\]

- Were the comparison groups formed prospectively?  
  \[\text{NO} \rightarrow \text{X}\]
  \[\text{YES} \downarrow\]

- How were the comparison groups formed?

  - Randomised  
  - Quasi-randomised  
  - Not stated or unclear  
  - Using a predetermined or biased method  
  - Not sure  

  \[\text{RCT}\]  
  \[\text{CCT}\]  
  \[\text{CCT}\]  
  \[\text{X}\]  
  \[\text{NS}\]